

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/755,856	01/12/2004	Maurice Gell	UCT-0040/2	8424
23413 7590 04/11/20 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			EXAMINER	
20 Church Stree	et ·	RECEIVED	SAVAGE, JASON L	
Hartford, CT 0	5103	ADD 1 r mon	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		APR 1 5 2008	1794	
	íĈ	ANTOR COLDURN LLP		-
	ĺ		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Modice of Oppeal due 5/5/08 W/1stext 6/5/08 W/2nd ext 7/5/08 W/3rd ext 8/5/08

Advisory action

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/755,856	GELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON L. SAVAGE	1794	<u>.</u>

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON L. SAVAGE	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria	ite extension fee e action: or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better</li> </ul>		ucina or cimplifying th	o incues for			
appeal; and/or	•		e issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		npliant Amendment (F	110L-324).			
<ul><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be aller</li></ul>		maly filed emandmen	t canaclina the			
non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Object of all purposes of appeals, the proposed amendment(s): a) [Section 1]	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended. ˙	be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>63</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>17,18,43 and 44</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>64</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected. <u>04.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavit	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> or other evidence is i	be entered necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appeal	and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794						
Supervisory Paterit Examiner, Art Offic 1794						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims creates new combinations which have not previously been considered and would require further consideration and/or search such as claim 21 which recites 'the porosity' however claim 19 recites a material porosity and independent claim recites an inter pass boundary porisity. There are also new combinations with nearly all of the other dependent claims as well.